

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA  
FORT MYERS DIVISION

ERIK ALCALDE,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. 2:20-cv-69-NPM

COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY,

Defendant.

---

**ORDER**

Before the Court is an Unopposed Application for Attorney's Fees Under the Equal Access to Justice Act (Doc. 38). Plaintiff Erik Alcalde's attorney, Jonas H. Kushner, requests the Court award attorney's fees pursuant to EAJA, 28 U.S.C. § 2412(d). Plaintiff represents the Commissioner of Social Security does not object to the relief sought. For the reasons below, the motion is granted.

On September 24, 2021, the Court reversed the decision of the Commissioner and remanded the case pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g). (Doc. 36). Final judgment was entered the same day. (Doc. 37). Now, Kushner requests an award of \$8,362.04. (Doc. 38, pp. 1-9).

In order for Plaintiff to receive an award of fees under EAJA, the following five conditions must be established: (1) Plaintiff must file a timely application for attorney's fees; (2) Plaintiff's net worth must have been less than \$2 million dollars

at the time the Complaint was filed; (3) Plaintiff must be the prevailing party in a non-tort suit involving the United States; (4) the position of the United States must not have been substantially justified; and (5) there must be no special circumstances that would make the award unjust. 28 U.S.C. § 2412(d); *Comm'r, I.N.S. v. Jean*, 496 U.S. 154, 158 (1990). Upon consideration and with no opposition by the Commissioner on eligibility grounds, the Court finds all conditions of EAJA have been met.

EAJA fees are determined under the “lodestar” method by determining the number of hours reasonably expended on the matter multiplied by a reasonable hourly rate. *Jean v. Nelson*, 863 F.2d 759, 773 (11th Cir. 1988). The resulting fee carries a strong presumption that it is a reasonable fee. *City of Burlington v. Dague*, 505 U.S. 557, 562 (1992). After review of the services provided, the Court finds 40 hours expended by attorney Kushner was reasonable. (Doc. 38, pp. 10-13).

EAJA fees are “based upon prevailing market rates for the kind and quality of services furnished,” not to exceed \$125 per hour unless the Court determines an increase in the cost of living, or a special factor justifies a higher fee. 28 U.S.C. § 2412(d)(2)(A). Thus, determination of the appropriate hourly rate is a two-step process. The Court first determines the prevailing market rate; then, if the prevailing rate exceeds \$125.00, the Court determines whether to adjust the hourly rate. *Meyer v. Sullivan*, 958 F.2d 1029, 1033-34 (11th Cir. 1992). The prevailing market rates

must be determined according to rates customarily charged for similarly complex litigation and are not limited to rates specifically for social security cases. *Watford v. Heckler*, 765 F.2d 1562, 1568 (11th Cir. 1985). Plaintiff requests hourly rates of \$205.25 for 2019, \$207.35 for 2020, and \$212.51 for 2021, which are unchallenged by the Commissioner. The Court finds the hourly rate may be adjusted to the reasonable requested hourly rates.

Plaintiff filed an “Attorneys Fees for Social Security DIB/SSI Claims(s)” form, signed by both Plaintiff and attorney Kushner. (Doc. 38, p. 14). In this form agreement, Plaintiff assigns his rights to any EAJA fees to his attorneys. The Court will allow the fees to be paid directly to counsel if the United States Department of Treasury determines that no federal debt is owed by Plaintiff.

Accordingly, it is **ORDERED** that the Unopposed Application for Attorney's Fees (Doc. 39) is **GRANTED** and the Court awards \$8,362.04 in attorney's fees. These fees may be paid directly to counsel if the United States Department of Treasury determines that no federal debt is owed by Plaintiff Erik Alcalde. The Clerk of Court is directed to enter an amended judgment.

Ordered in Fort Myers, Florida, on January 22, 2022.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
NICHOLAS P. MIZELL  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE